

CEO of Goldman Sachs and Henry Paulson, former CEO of Goldman sat in on the secret conference meetings. When 12 trillion dollars was sent overseas to rescue Deutsche Bank (Germany) bin Laden was hidden in a mansion, and Geitner used to be president of the N.Y Federal Reserve (now, U.S. Treasurer in Pres. Obama’s cabinet) however, common America got mere pittance. In my home state, California, unemployment is above 12%. The condition nationwide is appalling and in grave disequilibrium.

Let us, alas, now cross into another world, to the question of what is killing honeybees? Honeybees have been disappearing at alarming rates since 2009.

The disappearance of honey bees is not due to disease, stated Dames. The bees are disappearing because he believes they are being blinded by ultraviolet rays of the sun, hence starving and disappearing. In terms of another disease topic, he remote viewed H5N1, and as far back as 2008, he has said to watch for a flu pandemic due to birds; in fact, he made a grim prediction in 2008. It lead him to call for a crisis unseen for hundreds of years due to migrating birds that spread a global pandemic. In terms of virulence, the medical system and mortuaries would be overwhelmed as it would be as bad as the influenza of 1918-1919 where millions died. He also believed the bird flu turns into a polymorph that converts to human influenza. During the last two years, he stressed disease will next befall us with a coup de gras. However, as when he targets individuals, there is a difficulty in being correct. More people die of auto accidents in the U.S. then of H5N1. The globe has yet to see millions die as a result of a bird flu.

So, what’s new? ?

“The government has supplied 33.56 billion dollars to 21 banks in the second round of payments from the \$700 billion rescue program. The Treasury Department confirmed the second round of government stock purchases designed to bolster the balance sheets of the nation’s banks to combat the worst financial crisis in more than seven decades.”

Source: George Noory, *Coast To Coast AM*, (Nov. 17, 2008)

Dames once marketed a set of tapes that took 13 years to develop and described and taught the technique of TRV—they were not cheap, costing over \$200. It was reported that sales were brisk. They had sold at least 12,000 copies. To his credit, they donated sets of tapes to high school libraries. That program was called Operation Guiding Light. However, in the year

2000, Maj. Dames stepped down from working directly with PSI Tech. He went back to teaching and set up his own institute, officially called The Technical Remote Viewing Institute, which ceased working with the public in July of 2002. The only public works cases they handle are those centered on missing and endangered children. PSI Tech is currently operating from Seattle, with Jonina Dourift as the president and Dane Spotts, the CEO. In June of 2001, the former company of Maj. Dames sued him for alleged robbery and theft of corporate records. In the interest of national defense, both remote-viewing companies, and actually all remote-viewers, are being enlisted as special task forces collecting war data in the battle against terrorism.

Overall of the last four years, there are two things that Maj. Dames seems to unwaver from happening globally. “The protons come to the deck,” not just once, but at various times. Secondly, the space shuttle is forced down due to meteors. Because the space shuttle fleet has been grounded, most likely you will not see this as an event; it seems he blew it, again—although space-shuttle-like craft are on the drawing boards. Despite what you may or may not want to believe, we must point out that for years and years, he kept and keeps stressing that China is gaining ground on remote-viewing study, and the problems with the sun, the solar kill-shot is still here.

Is Major Dames a part of “the signs” which humanity is supposed to receive before the times of great changes? A lady from Heaven not only came to deliver messages to those on Earth, She showed signs. For decades, people all over the world did not come to understand. As read in this book, it is no mere fairy story Portugal, where the Blessed Lady appeared, escaped WW II. One harkens to the message of May 13, 1990, by the Blessed Lady to Fr Gobbi, who stated, “Humanity has not accepted my motherly request to return to the Lord along the road of conversion....Satan has been the uncontested dominator of the events of this century....spreading far and wide, division and hatred, immorality and wickedness and legitimizing divorce, abortion, obscenity, homosexuality and recourse to any and all means of obstructing life.”

FATIMA UNLOCKED AND ACROSS THE SKY

The last book of the Bible was cited by Pope John Paul II in his sermon when he was actually in Fatima on May 13, 2000, and it was particularly significant that he pointed out the book of the Apocalypse dealing with the end times and the Sun. Until that day, none of the words about the Third Secret were publicly revealed. It is curious to note that none of the first two secrets were written until the events they related had already happened.

As you shall read, after Major Dames undertook a PSI Tech project to unlock the Third Secret of Fatima, there is also an astonishing connection to the book of the Apocalypse. Known also as Revelation, what he was to say appears to keep in rhythm with John Paul's undertones.

Our story of the secret is a continuation of the final part of Fatima in our book in that the Catholic Church during the last century had not released it because of the fear of worldwide panic. The 3rd Secret was the ultimate king of mysteries, a real mystery of life, until now.

We shall give you a brief description of what had been presented by the Vatican in June 2000, at a press conference in the John Paul II Hall of the Press Office, then followed by interpretations as given in history. Then, despite the words of discontent, a dove with news of even more frightening ramifications will be set free.

The following, as promised in May by Cardinal Sodano, was released in booklet form on June 26, 2000, by the Vatican as the Third Secret written on paper by Sister Lucia during the Second World War. It was addressed to the Bishop of Leiria:

“I write in obedience to you my God, who command me to do so through his Excellency the Bishop of Leiria and through your Most Holy Mother and mine.

“After the two parts which I have already explained, at the left of Our Lady and a little above, we saw an Angel with a flaming sword in his left hand. Flashing, it gave out flames that looked as though they would set the world on fire, but they died out in contact with the splendor that Our Lady radiated towards him from her right hand: pointing to the Earth with his right hand, the Angel cried out in a loud voice: Pen-

ance, Penance, Penance!

“And we saw in an immense light that is God: something similar to how people appear in a mirror when they pass in front of it, a bishop dressed in white. We had the impression that it was the Holy Father. Other bishops, priests, men and women religious going up a steep mountain, at the top of which there was a big Cross of rough-hewn trunks as of a cork-tree with the bark; before reaching there the Holy Father passed through a big city half in ruins. And half trembling with halting step, afflicted with pain and sorrow, he prayed for the souls of the corpses he met on his way; having reached the top of the mountain, on his knees at the foot of the big Cross, he was killed by a group of soldiers who fired bullets and arrows at him, and in the same way there died one after another the other bishops, priests, men and women religious, and various laypeople of different ranks and positions. Beneath the two arms of the Cross there were two Angels each with a crystal aspersorium [a vessel that holds holy water] in his hand, in which they gathered up the blood of the Martyrs and with it sprinkled the souls that were making their way to God. January 3, 1944.”

The Vatican did not explain why John Paul II decided to reveal the third prophecy. On the internet the Vatican booklet totals about 25-26 pages. In addition to the officially released document on the Third Secret, there were four other texts included: 1) a short letter from the Pope addressed to Sister Lucia, April 19, 2000; 2) a very brief account of the conversation Archbishop Bertone and Bishop Silva had with Sister Lucia the 27th of April; 3) the actual statement made by Cardinal Angelo Sodano, Secretary of State, on May 13 in Fatima; 4) a theological commentary by Cardinal Joseph Ratzinger, Prefect of the Congregation for the Doctrine of the Faith (CDF), in the old days called the Holy Office. The revealing statement by Sodano on May 13 was reportedly cleared with Sister Lucia who publicly voiced no dissent—there is no concrete evidence to substantiate this. Curiously, during the Pope’s 23 hours in Fatima for the beatification ceremony, he never mentioned the secret or was interviewed by any reporters. Fr Gruner, the Fatima scholar, is quoted as saying he does not question its authenticity. The central interpretation, by Monsignor Bertone, the CDF’s Secretary, and Cardinal Ratzinger, comments that the events in the vision were fulfilled on May 13, 1981, the day a man dressed in white, which would be Pope John Paul, according to Bertone and colleagues, fell from bullets. For the sake of argument, we will for now say it pertains to him, but the Vatican also released a little letter on June 26 about the secret written by Sister Lucia, written after the assassination attempt.

First, the actual important sentences that comprise the letter:

told, Lucia was to reveal all and let it all hang out, but instead she just tacked on etc. If she had forgotten or was unsure, she would have written I forgot or I don't remember.

If and I repeat if, it were all part of the second message which Our Lady had told her but was also to reveal it, in other words the second unlike the third had been given a green light by the Blessed Lady to reveal it entirely. Had she forgotten, she would have mentioned it. But nothing was mentioned about forgetfulness because there was nothing forgotten in the second message. It was all complete! The sentence connected with Portugal to which "etc" was written next to belongs to the third message.

Incidentally, one must not forget this was a Sister Lucia of 60 years ago. This is 1941. Sister Lucia had a remarkable mind and a memory like an elephant. It was only in 1943 that she began to get sick, however, even thereafter, her memory was still sharp and keen.

Remember the interview of 1945 mentioned earlier in the book? Mr. Walsh said she possessed a keen mind. There are many references even later from European journalists that remarked on her very good memory. So, back in 1941, everything points she was still in top form when she composed her memoirs.

Thus the "etc" does not belong to the notion of Oh, I forgot so I'll just say etc. The "etc" belongs to the realm that something is definitely there AND that what is missing most probably belongs to the words of the Mother of God. Why are they mysteriously skipped and why does it form a part of an etc? Because, they probably belong to the 3rd Secret, and not the 2nd. They belong to the 3rd message, and if it all falls into line, they were words said by the Blessed Mother and they were not a vision or dream.

The words before the "etc" are words from the Blessed Mother. The words right after "etc" are also part of her words. But they are words that are connected by an "etc" because they were not to be told, in 1941. Sister Lucia wrote "etc" at the end of a phrase spoken by Our Lady regarding preservation of Catholic dogma in Portugal, and in all the years since 1941 the contents of "etc" has never been revealed publicly. Lucia wrote "etc" because it meant The Blessed Lady had more to say but, because it did not belong to the Second Secret was deleted from specifying text of the memoirs.

Father Gruner was asked what he thought the "etc" meant, and I thought he gave a good answer. "The presence of the words," he wrote, "In Portugal the dogma of the Faith will always be preserved, etc" led virtually every reputable Fatima scholar, and even secular journalists like Vittorio Messori, to conclude that the reference to the

‘dogma of the Faith in Portugal’ was the first sentence of the Third Secret, and that the Third Secret dealt with a compromise of the Catholic faith in countries other than Portugal. Otherwise, the reference to ‘dogma of the Faith in Portugal’ would make no sense, because it is out of context and out of sequence with the previous text regarding the Triumph of the Immaculate Heart of Mary and the conversion of Russia.

“The text of the vision published on June 26 (described in this book as Third Secret module) contains no words of Our Lady, and leaves Our Lady in mid-sentence.... So, we may ask ourselves where the additional words of Our Lady have gone to. There is certainly a great deal of evidence that the words represented by the ‘etc’ exist somewhere....we know that in 1952 Father Schweigl was sent by Pius XII to interview Sister Lucy about the Secret. After this interview, Father Schweigl told Frere Michel, in a letter to him: the Secret has *two parts*: one concerns the Pope. The other, logically (although I must say nothing) would have to be the continuation of those words ‘In Portugal the dogma of the Faith will always be preserved.’ That is just one of many pieces of evidence.

“The 1960 press release announcing that the Third Secret would not be revealed in that year states that ‘it is most likely that the letter will never be opened, in which Sister Lucy wrote down *the words that Our Lady confided* as a secret to the three little shepherds.’ The same press release states that one of the reasons given by the unnamed ‘very reliable Vatican circles’ for suppressing the Secret is that ‘the Church...does not pledge herself to guarantee the veracity of the words which the three little shepherds claim to have heard from Our Lady.’ The Vatican never contradicted this press release, which was circulated to the entire world. Now, the text of the Third Secret vision [module] published on June 26, as I have noted, does not contain any words spoken by Our Lady, but only the vision. Where are these ‘words which Our Lady confided as a secret,’ referred to in the 1960 press release?”

He was then asked if there could be another document that is still missing? “...I want to make something perfectly clear here and now,” Gruner answered. “I absolutely do not suggest that the Holy Father would deliberately and knowingly suppress some portion of the Third Secret of his own free will. There would have to be some other explanation. But, the fact remains that she wrote ‘etc’ at the end of a phrase....

“Was Father Schweigl, the emissary of Pius XII, mistaken? Was the press release in 1960 mistaken? Was it a slip of Sister Lucy’s pen Or was she not paying attention to what Our Lady said, so that the words indicated by ‘etc’ are lost forever?”

The untold part probably is a reference to the dangers to the faith and the “end

times.” “The Third Secret is so terrible,” said Father Martin who knew the secret, “that if you could imagine the worst, the Secret is worse than that.” Major Dames on the 3rd Secret also pays reference to the end times. Cardinal Ratzinger elucidated a remark in 1984 concerning the secret, the Last Times, and the loss of faith; although he cautions against sensationalism. Pope John Paul II remarked about the message of Fatima and linked the danger of loss of faith to the last book of the Bible, of how the devil, the dragon, sweeps one third of the stars from heaven with its tail; some say the souls of the clergy represent the stars who have fallen from the grace of God into a state of apostasy; others say it is religion. This is not to say all clergy are like that, for instance you have many good Cardinals and priests, like Capovilla and Cerejeira. But, when the watchers of our souls, those entrusted to illuminate the way for others to go to heaven, namely the clergy, enforce the acceptance of unchristian morals by stealth and a play on words, the very definition not to condemn errors and heresies doom them. John Paul II gives us a warning, in more ways than one, not to follow the stars of heaven dragged down by evil beings.

Is it mere fantasy and coincidence to state that by 2011—as the words of Father Wingate seem to come into play—namely our world will be engulfed in multiple revolutions, the U.S. will be involved in 3 wars and some Anti-pope will not unendorse false religions—the predictions of La Salette have been partially fulfilled?: the Catholic “Church will be in eclipse”. It had ascertained in the same passage that Rome will lose the Faith and become the seat of the Antichrist. If the Third Secret of Fatima deals only with the pope, as in the papal vision of 2000, punishments and severity will be connected to him. There is little we can do. The Catholic Church can tumble only so far before a repentance or the liquidation of the Church as we know it. Society will not remain outsiders, however, if the Third Secret deals with more than just the pope.

Facts cannot be debated. In the words written by their own hands, a lack of respect and a contemptible demonstration for the Mother of Jesus Christ has been set aglow. Unfortunately, that has not entirely abated. The attempt to silence the Fatima message not only goes beyond the wrong bridge to knowledge when the only scholar cited in a commentary, whether chosen to be believed or not, was produced, but it became exacerbated a few years after. In 2005, we had the lost episode of the Hindu sacrilege. Two years later, Cardinal Bertone had shown on Italian television his displeasure toward the book written by Scossi and his assertion that there was a hidden letter/envelope. The Hindu ceremony of desecration was one thing, but it looks like a little story *about* a story, *for* our time, *of* our times, begun way back in 1917 sees

morbid decline, and may perhaps get even worse. Using state of the art communications, including television and the ease of the world wide web, the Vatican curia has taken special care to show, or should we say by virtue of careful omittance also and argumentative bravado, the making of a mockery of relevant data concerning the release of the Third Secret and all pertinent facts on it.

Bertone claimed and stammered that everything concerning the 3rd Secret was disclosed and that also all envelopes were presented and that Scossi was just trying to sell his book. Moreover, also in 2007 we must not forget, Bertone, now Vatican Secretary of State, published his book, *The Last Visionary of Fatima*, which concerned the main idea that the Third Secret belonged to the past. That is known as the party-line. It has been spoken by Fatima scholars and others that the failure of Catholic leaders to follow the requests by the Blessed Mother is the party-line stance adopted by modern-day cardinals, who follow the Secretary of State stance. Before 1960, we should remember #1. In the past, the power of the Secretary of State of the Vatican wielded less power than today. #2. Vatican Secretaries of State once manifested great love for the Blessed Mother. #3. No Secretary of State from the Vatican, or any other leading member from a Vatican office, had practiced or felt a need to see non-Catholic prayer could be acceptable in lieu of Catholic Faith and dogma. These men have governed the Church the past 40 years or so. Those who disagree, largely remain silent. However, a mountain of evidence takes them out of their little hidden curtains because of the ruckus started by Paolini and then Scossi in 2006-7—see pages 36-37.

In the midst of the worst worldwide economic crisis since the Great Depression, in the midst of climbing sexual scandals of monumental proportions worldwide, in the middle of a great war on terrorism, in the midst of a growing distrust of virtually anything Catholic (growing perpetually since the late 1960s, see page 68, in terms of U.S. Catholic seminarians, nuns, parishes, schools, and their loss in numbers and visions) a co-author of Bertone, an Italian by the name of Giuseppe De Carli—only five years since the Hindu sacrilege was allowed in the Fatima Shrine—astoundingly admitted May of 2010, that the Third Secret concerns us today. That is quite a departure from the announcements of the Vatican 10 years earlier and also Bertone's 2007 book. De Carli was no small fish.

That is an astounding development. WHAT IS SO IMPORTANT ABOUT DE CARLI? Vatican journalist De Carli had been initially requested by the Vatican to join in the research and writing of a new version to the original *The Last Visionary of Fatima*. Why not? What better man to pick than the experienced De Carli who had been inter-

viewed by Bertone on May 10, 2007 about Fatima? But, De Carli changed, when in May 2010, De Carli surprised everyone and showed up at the famous Ergife Hotel in Rome to attend a special conference sponsored by Rev Gruner. Several thousand people attended, including the media. It was streamed live worldwide via the internet.

During the conference, De Carli told the audience that he was not a fan of Mary, the Blessed Mother. He said that he was only a journalist who was thrown into “the brawl” because by doing Vatican broadcasting he had to deal with the arguments. He admitted he was not an expert of Mary.

De Carli was able to play a video of a visit he had made to Coimbra, after Sister Lucia had passed away, and a video of Capovilla being interviewed, made when Bertone made his 2007 rebuttal on Italian TV. De Carli presented novel news of sorts when he stated that in 2000, when Pope John Paul II had visited Fatima, Portugal, Lucia had given him an envelope with a letter. He admitted that he had asked Bertone if he knew what was inside, but was told no, because that was a “private correspondence of the Holy Father.” He also revealed that the letter is possessed by Archbishop Stanislaw Dziwisz, archbishop of Crakow, Poland.

De Carli was posed a question during the conference by Gruner himself regarding the lust for power and the times of evil. “Were they really over?” he asked. De Carli replied a lengthy answer regarding a historical aspect: “This is certain,” he began. “By reading the Third Secret, we understand that the Third Secret is also valid today. It is not just relegated to the past. I tried to show this in the book with a reflection by Cardinal Bertone, who then arrives at my thesis. Read it carefully. The Third Secret is not something that concerns only an event of the past...(it) concerns us today, as well, It has, therefore, a power that goes far beyond a mere historical memory.«” That was a momentous statement.

During the question and answer session, a small commotion occurred concerning the real letter of Capovilla, and whether it was indeed shown on Italian television back on May 31, 2007—see page 37. De Carli responded that it was shown, along with the other envelopes. When Christopher A. Ferrara pointed out that the real Capovilla envelope has a list—handwritten by Capovilla himself—of people who read it scribbled on it, and those shown do not, De Carli goes off by describing the photographers and that he was not the one who took the photos shown on Italian TV. He fumed there is no difference and no other missing envelope. De Carli exclaims he was there and the envelope in question is a large yellowish envelope with another envelope inside—Lucia’s outer envelope— and, inside that was another envelope—the envelope with the “1960” command—followed by the last enve-

lope of Lucia, a second sealed so-called “1960” envelope. He described to everybody that inside was a sheet with 4 sides, with some 63-64 handwritten lines, and this sheet was shown on Italian television back on May 31, 2007. He reinterrated that what was displayed on television in 2007 on *Porta a Porta* corresponds to the Capovilla envelope. When Ferrara pointed out that *corresponds* is not the same as *equals*, and that what was not shown was the envelope with the handwriting, De Carli squawks.

De Carli said, “The Capovilla envelope is the same as the Bertone envelope!” He claimed another one does not exist, and that what he had read corresponds to what had been revealed in June of 2000. “I don’t know what more you want,” he said.

He then affirmed that Capovilla—almost 100 yrs old—had reaffirmed to Bertone that the text he read was also read by Pope John XXIII. The tricky question to ask, that was never brought up by Bertone during the televised discussion back in 2007, was whether the text that was read was the same one that he himself, Capovilla, had the list written on from the envelope. In general, Capovilla could reaffirms all he wants, but he could be thinking in all honesty about the envelope he submitted with his writing on it, while Bertone could speak about an affirmation while inferring it is the same contents with the same envelope, but as Ferrara was alluding, no one examined the envelope in question in the presence of Capovilla. Everybody talks of an affirmation, probably the same one, and speaks about what “corresponds.”

Ferrara once again spoke about discrepancies. He also knew that he would probably never get another chance as this one to have De Carli in his sights. Ferrara pointed to Capovilla’s testimony that he had said clearly that “there is an envelope on the outside of which is found my writing (Capovilla’s)” and that on *Porta a Porta* no such envelope was ever shown. De Carli shot back that the reason the writing was not seen was that it was on the back of the envelope shown, and obviously Bertone failed to show it. He said he had personally seen the envelope, and to recall the program. Bertone, stated De Carli, simply took one side of the envelope, and that was the side which was simply turned to the other side. He stated that it was not that Bertone did not want us to see it. He shouted that people are inventing. De Carli then told the audience that, if you go back to the transcription, Bertone actually read the sentences that Pope John XXIII had dictated to Msgr Capovilla to write on the envelope. He signalled it was a small thing that Bertone did not turn around the envelope as he was talking.

Unfortunately, it was De Carli who was inventing. When one reviews the videotape of *Porta a Porta*, Bertone never read what Pope John XXIII had dictated to Msgr Capovilla. In a late 2010 article written by Ferrara titled *Epilogue to The Secret Still Hidden, Vindica-*

tion!, Ferrara pointed out correctly that not only was the ordered dictation of John XXIII never read by Bertone on the air, Capovilla was never even mentioned during the broadcast of *Porta a Porta*, May 31, 2007. It was all an invention of De Carli. When still pestered about the discrepancy, as Ferrara so elegantly put it, not realizing that he was treading in a minefield planted by Bertone, De Carli was questioned along three other important topics. He was asked why Cardinal Ottaviani had stated when he had the 3rd Secret letter in his hands that he had counted 25 lines, while the letter Bertone shown had 62 instead. All he said was that he did not know, but that he has the notes that when Bertone had shown the 62 lines paper to Sister Lucia and asked was this what she wrote in 1944, she had answered, “Yes, it is my text.” And, when asked was this your envelope she replied affirmative. According to Bertone’s book, this was April of 2000.

Subsequently, during the discussions, De Carli was posed more important questions about the book, co-authored with Bertone. Had Sister Lucia actually replied that they were her sheets, using the plural form? De Carli was also asked if what was presented on *Porta a Porta* May 31 shown had only one sheet? De Carli explains that was true, but in the first edition of *The Last Visionary of Fatima*. He explains it was corrected in the second edition, with the book now called *The Last Secret of Fatima*. He explained when the first edition was being written, Bertone was moving to Rome and he had stacks and shelves of papers all over the place and he admitted that it was done too haphazardly.

Rev Gruner during the conference reasked De Carli that Capovilla had signed a document with a list of the names of others who had seen the 3rd Secret and the fact that John XXIII had not wished to give his own judgment on it ie. the envelope. Gruner pointed out the book *The Last Visionary of Fatima* with the photo in the book—and that each and every one of the photos—contains no name or writing of Capovilla to be seen. He is now Archbishop Capovilla. He also stated that when Bertone showed all the envelopes on Italian television, both sides of the envelope were shown before the camera.

De Carli finally admitted he was confused but said nothing was switched on TV and gave in that there exists a contradiction, and admitted that he has to double check “the pictures you mentioned (from *Porta a Porta*) however, he said the envelope he had seen and that which was photographed “had on the frontispiece—the second envelope—the phrases that John XXIII dictated to Msgr Capovilla.” For now, he ended, “I will not decide. I’ll leave to my successor all choices concerning publication of the Secret.”

In 2011, De Carli passed away. I wonder if the question once posed by Humphrey Bogart has any merit, “Did somebody bump somebody off?”

The world has built skyscrapers, cities, tunnels and rockets and has also made

astounding leaps in computer technology, translating into a vista of new found knowledge in the communication and transportation fields. Despite all this, I see a strange world of people who are flat when it comes to their knowledge of Fatima and also their immediate geophysical/geographical surroundings.

Puerto Banus, Marbella, Spain. Malibu, California. Rio, Brazil. Miami, Florida. Park Avenue, NY. Runaway Bay, Jamaica. Land of the rich, supermodels, yachts and rich Arabs, Americans and Europeans. With the possible exception of Paris and New Orleans, no major world capital or major “playground of fun” has felt any impact relating to damage in the millions and large-scale deaths associated with gigantic weather-related natural disasters. They have precariously escaped; until probably December 2004. [Edit note: A “playground of fun” like New York was visited by awesome winds as recently as Sep. 16, 2010, for example, but it saw no large-scale deaths.]

In a globe flooded by 7 billion people, populated by up to 10,000 different languages, humanity has made great strides in the science and technological wonders in many countries and has risen above poverty and social biases that existed in the western world, say, in 1917. The world is not perfect, however. In a world of overwhelming weather disturbances and natural disasters, according to David Booth, we saw the defining moment, momentous enough for Sister Lucia to tell him to reveal in October a message that the threshold has been crossed. As of October 1, 2011, James Jancik has been the only one, the only talk show host in the world, who was willing to let people know of the message of 2004; in 2007, Booth appeared once more on radio—to be described in the final chapter. Shortly after the interview of October 6th, a series of strange things began to appear: Sister Lucia went into the hospital, and never got well. But, something even more stranger happened.

A Bart Flanders enters the picture. He wrote an e-book. That is not denied, but the steps leading to it, the disappearance and the reason why, is suspect. Nevertheless, it should be mentioned because unlike the other parts of my book, the following must be taken with caution. Not everything has been determined to be based on solid fact. It revolves around a journalist from Portugal, a webmaster from Belgium, a nun from Coimbra and Bart, who is also from Belgium. (Certain names have been omitted to protect the individuals.)

On behalf of the webmaster, Bart Flanders’ brother-in-law went to investigate the story of the so-called Booth visit of March 2004. The brother-in-law lives in Portugal and it is claimed works for a big Portuguese newspaper. He had been part of the pool of journalists that had covered the beatification in 2000 when over 600,000